

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Reply Filed by: Louise Kiehl Stanphill
3727 Hennessy Place
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
blindman@sonic.net
707-573-9056

February 16, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF LOUISE KIEHL STANPHILL

State of California]

Sonoma County]

I, LOUISE KIEHL STANPHILL, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is LOUISE KIEHL STANPHILL. My address is 3727 Hennessy Place, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.
2. I am a small business owner selling window coverings and cleaning blinds since 1995.
3. In 2009, I suffered a severe electrical shock to my head while talking on a cell phone plugged into a wall socket.
4. Since that event, I have become hypersensitive to electromagnetic fields and suffer great physical pain when near wireless devices including cell phones, cell phone towers, cordless phones, wireless routers and wireless utility meters ("Smart Meters"); many electronics including household appliances, computers and television screens; and fluorescent lighting, especially compact fluorescent lights (CFL's).
5. The proliferation of wireless technology has excluded me from activities of daily living, including worshiping, travelling, attending public activities and events, shopping, etc., and has ruined my functional ability to work.
6. The FCC has a duty to protect the public health and safety from harmful levels of radio frequency radiation.
7. To protect the public health, the FCC must update its RF safety regulations and base them on biologically-based safety levels.

8. In 2011, the World Health Organization declared electromagnetic radiation a class 2B carcinogen, and some of the scientists on the WHO committee not affiliated with the telecom industry felt the research easily supported making it a 2A carcinogen.

9. The Bioinitiative Report of 2012 urgently calls for greater protection from RF emissions for sensitive populations. (See <http://www.bioinitiative.org/conclusions>) “Safety standards for sensitive populations will more likely need to be set at lower levels than for healthy adult populations. Sensitive populations include the developing fetus, the infant, children, the elderly, those with pre-existing chronic diseases, and those with developed electrical sensitivity (EHS).”

Respectfully submitted by

Louise Kiehl Stanphill
3727 Hennessy Place
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
February 16, 2013